

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
NASHVILLE DIVISION

ORDER :

*The motion for leave  
to amend (Docket No.  
137) has been denied*

SAMUEL JEFFERSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

CORIZON HEALTH CARE  
PROVIDERS, et al.,  
ET AL.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:12-CV-00988  
Judge Sharp  
Magistrate Judge Bryant

*(Docket No. 167). Therefore,  
this motion to strike  
is denied as  
moot.*

*John Bryant,  
USMJ*

**DEFENDANT CORIZON, INC.'S MOTION TO STRIKE "PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND AS A MATTER OF COURSE WITH RELATION  
BACK OF AMENDMENT DOCTRINE, IN SUPPORT THERE OF"**

Defendant Corizon, Inc. ("Corizon") requests an order striking Plaintiff's submission entitled "PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND AS A MATTER OF COURSE WITH RELATION BACK OF AMENDMENT DOCTRINE, IN SUPPORT THERE OF" [Docket No. 137] (the "Motion") on the grounds that it is unintelligible, nonsensical and not recognized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 10 (c), Corizon incorporates by reference Defendant Eli Lilly's Motion to Strike (Document 140).

Wherefore, Corizon requests an order striking the Motion and for any additional relief this Court may deem appropriate.